MICT-12-19 18-01-2013

UNITED NATIONS

MICT-12-17

MICT-12-15

MICT-12-10

MICT-12-18

MICT-12-11

Cases No. MICT-12-12

MICT-12-19

MICT-12-14 MICT-12-08

MICT-12-13

MICT-12-16

Received by the Registry

Mechanism for International Criminal Tribunals

18/01/2013 14:03

Date:

18 January 2013

Original:

English

SINGLE JUDGE

Before:

Judge Vagn Joensen, Single Judge

Registrar:

Mr. John Hocking

Mechanism for International Criminal Tribunals

In Re. Elizaphan and Gérard NTAKIRUTIMANA Alfred MUSEMA Clément KAYISHEMA et al. Yussuf MUNYAKAZI Ignace BAGILISHEMA Mikaeli MUHIMANA Siméon NCHAMIHIGO Aloys NDIMBATI

> **Emmanuel NDINDABAHIZI** Charles SIKUBWABO Eliézer NIYITEGEKA

DECISION IN RESPECT TO JACQUES MUNGWARERE'S MOTIONS TO ACCESS **MATERIALS**

The Office of the Prosecutor:

Hassan Bubacar Jallow James Arguin

Mungwarere, Counsel for Jacques accused before the jurisdiction of Canada:

Phillippe Larochelle

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Jacques Mungwarere, who is being prosecuted in Canada for crimes against humanity and genocide in relation to events allegedly committed in Rwanda during the 1994 Genocide, filed three motions before the ICTR for, *inter alia*, access to material in various completed cases. Judge Michel Z. Charbonneau of the Superior Court of Justice, Court of Ontario, Canada subsequently authorised the requests.²
- 2. On 4 March 2012, I was designated as an ICTR Single Judge to rule on the three Motions.³ In a decision dated 28 May 2012 I denied Mungwarere's requests with respect to confidential material from a number of cases, ruled that the requests with respect to the remaining cases could be granted if the concerned witnesses consented to variation of their protective measures, and directed the Witnesses and Victims Support Section ("WVSS") of the ICTR to consult the concerned witnesses on their positions with respect to the requests.⁴
- 3. On 1 July 2012 all judicial functions related to the protection of witnesses and victims in completed ICTR cases passed to the Mechanism for International Tribunals ("MICT"). On 23 August 2012, I was assigned as MICT Single Judge to dispose of Jacques Mungwarere's motions.⁵

¹ Mungwarere's Motion for Access to Material, filed on 17 August 2011 ("First Motion"); Mungwarere's Reply to Prosecuter's [sic] Response to Mungwarere's Motion for Access to Material, filed on 29 August 2011; Jacques Mungwerere's Urgent Motion for Access to Material, dated 29 February 2012 and filed on 1 March 2012 ("Second Motion"); Jacques Mungwarere's Second Urgent Motion for Access to Material and Notice under Rule 67(D), filed on 5 March 2012 ("Third Motion").

² Correspondence from Judge Michel Z. Charbonneau, Judge of the Superior Court of Justice, Court of Ontario, Canada to Mr. Adama Dieng, re: Motions filed by Jacques Mungwarere, dated 4 April 2012.

³ The Prosecutor v. Elizaphan and Gérard Ntakirutimana, Cases No. ICTR-96-10 and ICTR-96-17, Alfred Musema, Case No. ICTR-96-13, Clément Kayishema et al., Case No. ICTR-95-1, Yussuf Munyakazi, Case No. ICTR-97-36A, Ignace Bagilishema, Case No. ICTR-95-1A, Édouard Karemera et al., Case No. ICTR-98-44, Mika Muhimana, Case No. ICTR-95-1B, Siméon Nchamihigo, Case No. ICTR-01-63, Aloys Ndimbati, Case No. ICTR-95-1, Emmanuel Ndindabahizi, Case No. ICTR-01-71, Charles Sikubwabo, Case No. ICTR-95-1D, Pauline Nyiramasuhuko et al., Case No. ICTR-98-42, Eliézer Niyitigeka, Case No. ICTR-96-14, Casimir Bizimungu et al., Case No. ICTR-99-50, Augustin Ndindiliyimana et al., Case No. ICTR-00-56, Designation of a Judge to Consider Jacques Mungwarere's Motions for Access to Material and Notice under Rule 67 (D), 14 March 2012 ("Order of 14 March 2012"). This Order replaces the Designation of a Trial Chamber to Consider Mungwarere's Motion for Access to Material of 15 September 2011 for the First Motion.

⁴ The Prosecutor v. Elizaphan and Gérard Ntakirutimana, Cases No. ICTR-96-10 and ICTR-96-17, Alfred Musema, Case No. ICTR-96-13, Clément Kayishema et al., Case No. ICTR-95-1, Yussuf Munyakazi, Case No. ICTR-97-36A, Ignace Bagilishema, Case No. ICTR-95-1A, Édouard Karemera et al., Case No. ICTR-98-44, Mika Muhimana, Case No. ICTR-95-1B, Siméon Nchamihigo, Case No. ICTR-01-63, Aloys Ndimbati, Case No. ICTR-95-1, Emmanuel Ndindabahizi, Case. No. ICTR-01-71, Charles Sikubwabo, Case No. ICTR-95-1D, Eliézer Niyitigeka, Case No. ICTR-96-14, Decision in Relation to Jacques Mungwarere's Motion for Access to Materials and Notice under Rule 67 (D), 28 May 2012.

⁵ The Prosecutor v. Elizaphan and Gérard Ntakirutimana, Case No. MICT-12-17 Alfred Musema, Case No. MICT-12-15, Clément Kayishema et al., Case No. MICT-12-10, Yussuf Munyakazi, Case No. MICT-12-18, Ignace Bagilishema, Tasse Ro. MICT-12-18, Alfred Musema, Case Ro. MICT-12-19, Aloys of Highest Case Ro. MicT-12-14, Education of Case No.

4. In response to the 28 May 2012 decision, ICTR WVSS filed submissions on 3 July 2012 and 5 September 2012 and MICT Witness Support and Protection ("WISP") filed submissions on 11 January 2013.⁶

DELIBERATIONS

Preliminary matter

5. Because it does not contain information that reveals the identity of protected witnesses, I consider that this decision should be filed publicly.

The Musema, Munyakazi, Bagilishema, Ndimbati, Sikubwabo, Niyitegeka and Nchamihigo cases.

6. Jacques Mungwarere's requests in respect to the above cases were denied by the ICTR Decision of 28 May 2012 and are therefore moot.

The Ntakirutimana, Kayishema, Muhimana and Ndindabazi cases.

7. The preliminary ruling in the ICTR Decision of 28 May 2012 that Mungwarere's requests with respect to these four cases may be granted if the concerned witnesses consented to the required variation of their protection measures was made pursuant to Rule 75 of the ICTR Rules of Procedure and Evidence as developed in the jurisprudence of ICTR Trial Chambers and the ICTR and ICTY Appeals Chamber. Bearing in mind that the MICT Rules are based on the Rules of the ICTY and

One of the Control of Carterian and National Action No. ICTR-98-44-A. Decision on Jacques Munewarere's Motion on the Carterial of the Second of the Carterian Action of the Carterian Second.



MICT-12-13, *Eliézer Niyitigeka*, Case No. MICT-12-16, Order Assigning a Single Judge to Consider Jacques Mungwarere's Motions to Access Materials, 23 August 2012.

⁶ The Prosecutor v. Elizaphan and Gérard Ntakirutimana, Cases No. ICTR-96-10 and ICTR-96-17, Alfred Musema, Case No. ICTR-96-13, Clément Kayishema et al., Case No. ICTR-95-1, Yussuf Munyakazi, Case No. ICTR-97-36A, Ignace Bagilishema, Case No. ICTR-95-1A, Mikaeli Muhimana, Case No. ICTR-95-1B, Siméon Nchamihigo, Case No. ICTR-01-63, Aloys Ndimbati, Case No. ICTR-95-1, Emmanuel Ndindabahizi, Case No. ICTR-01-71, Charles Sikubwabo, Case No. ICTR-95-1D, Eliézer Niyitegeka, Case No. ICTR-96-14, Registrar's Submissions in Respect of the "Decision in Relation to Jacques Mungwarere's Motions for Access to Materials and Notice under Rule 67 (D)", 3 July 2012; The Prosecutor v. Elizaphan and Gérard Ntakirutimana, Cases No. ICTR-96-10 and ICTR-96-17, Alfred Musema, Case No. ICTR-96-13, Clément Kayishema et al., Case No. ICTR-95-1, Yussuf Munyakazi, Case No. ICTR-97-36A, Ignace Bagilishema, Case No. ICTR-95-1A, Mikaeli Muhimana, Case No. ICTR-95-1B, Siméon Nchamihigo, Case No. ICTR-01-63, Aloys Ndimbati, Case No. ICTR-95-1, Emmanuel Ndindabahizi, Case No. ICTR-01-71, Charles Sikubwabo, Case No. ICTR-95-1D, Eliézer Niyitegeka, Case No. ICTR-96-14, Registrar's Second Submissions in Respect of the "Decision in Relation to Jacques Mungwarere's Motions for Access to Materials and Notice under Rule 67 (D)", 5 September 2012; The Prosecutor v. Elizephan and Gérard Ntakirutimana, Case No. MICT-12-17, Alfred Musema, Case No. MICT-12-15, Clément Kayishema et al., Case No. MICT-12-10, Yussuf Munyakazi, Case No. MICT-12-18, Ignace Bagilishema, Case No. MICT-12-11, Mikaeli Muhimana, Case No. MICT-12-12, Siméon Nchamihigo, Case No. MICT-12-19, Aloys Ndimbati, Case No. MICT-12-14, Emmanuel Ndindabahizi, Case No. MICT-12-08, Charles Sikubwabo, Case No. MICT-12-13, Eliézer Niyitegeka, Case No. MICT-12-16, Registrar's Submission in Respect of the "Decision in Relation to Jacques Mungwarere's Motions for Access to Materials and Notice under Rule 67 (D)", 11 January 2013.

ICTR, I consider that the standards developed in the ICTR and ICTY jurisprudence for allowing access to confidential information for use in proceedings in other jurisdictions also apply to Rule 86 of the MICT Rules. There is, therefore, no need to review the preliminary ruling in the ICTR Decision.

- 8. Contrary to the ICTR Rules, however, the MICT Rules specifically regulate the requirement of consent by the concerned protected witness to a variation of protective measures. MICT Rule 86(I) provides that it shall be ensured "through the Victims and Witness Section that the protected victim or witness has given consent to the rescission, variation, or augmentation of protective measures; however, on the basis of a compelling showing of exigent circumstances or where a miscarriage of justice would otherwise result, the Chamber may order *proprio motu* the rescission, variation, or augmentation of protective measures in the absence of such consent." I consider that the contact to the witnesses performed by the ICTR WVSS in relation to MICT Rule 86(I) is equivalent to contact performed by MICT WISP.
- 9. WVSS/WISP has reported the following on the position of the concerned witnesses to Mungwarere's requests:⁸
- 10. In the *Ntakirutimana* case Witnesses FF, OO, EE, GG and CC have signed affidavits that they, for security reasons, oppose that their identity be disclosed to Mungwarere. Despite repeated

Decision on Jacques Mungwarere's Motion for Access to Confidential Material, 24 May 2012, para 9; *Prosecutor v. Justin Mugenzi and Prosper Mugiraneza*, Case No. ICTR-9-50-A, Decision on Jacques Mungwarere's Motion for Access to Confidential Material, 24 May 2012, para 9; *Prosecutor v. Pauline Nyiramasuhuko et al.*, Case No. ICTR-98-42-A, Decision on Jacques Mungwarere's Motion for Access to Confidential Material, 17 May 2012, para. 18; *Prosecutor v. Popović et al.*, Cases No. IT-05-88-A and IT-95-5/18-T, Order Relating to Radovan Karadžić's Motion to Rescind Protective Measures: Witness KDZ122, 2 March 2012, p. 2; *Prosecutor v. Popović et al.*, Cases No. IT-05-88-A and IT-95-5/18-T, Order Relating to Prosecution's Urgent Motion to Rescind Protective Measures for Witness, 3 February 2012, p. 2.

⁸ The Prosecutor v. Elizaphan and Gérard Ntakirutimana, Cases No. ICTR-96-10 and ICTR-96-17, Alfred Musema, Case No. ICTR-96-13, Clément Kayishema et al., Case No. ICTR-95-1, Yussuf Munyakazi, Case No. ICTR-97-36A, Ignace Bagilishema, Case No. ICTR-95-1A, Mikaeli Muhimana, Case No. ICTR-95-1B, Siméon Nchamihigo, Case No. ICTR-01-63, Aloys Ndimbati, Case No. ICTR-95-1, Emmanuel Ndindabahizi, Case No. ICTR-01-71, Charles Sikubwabo, Case No. ICTR-95-1D, Eliézer Niyitegeka, Case No. ICTR-96-14, Registrar's Submissions in Respect of the "Decision in Relation to Jacques Mungwarere's Motions for Access to Materials and Notice under Rule 67 (D)", 3 July 2012; The Prosecutor v. Elizephan and Gérard Ntakirutimana, Case No. MICT-12-17, Alfred Musema, Case No. MICT-12-15, Clément Kayishema et al., Case No. MICT-12-10, Yussuf Munyakazi, Case No. MICT-12-18, Ignace Bagilishema, Case No. MICT-12-11, Mikaeli Muhimana, Case No. MICT-12-12, Siméon Nchamihigo, Case No. MICT-12-19, Aloys Ndimbati, Case No. MICT-12-14, Emmanuel Ndindabahizi, Case No. MICT-12-08, Charles Sikubwabo, Case No. MICT-12-13, Elizam Nipitegeka Cosa No. MICT-12-14 Registrar's Submission in Respect of the "Decision in Relation to Jucques Mangwarere's hacile and No. MICT-12-13 Submission in Respect of the "Decision in Relation to Jucques Mangwarere's hacile and No. MICT-12-13 Submission in Respect of the "Decision in Relation to Jucques Mangwarere's hacile and No. MICT-12-13 Submission in Respect of the "Decision in Relation to Jucques Mangwarere's hacile and No. MICT-12-13 Submission in Respect of the "Decision in Relation to Jucques Mangwarere's hacile and No. MICT-12-13 All All Registrar's Submission in Respect of the "Decision in Relation to Jucques Mangwarere's hacile and No. MICT-12-13 All All Registrar's Submission in Respect of the "Decision in Relation to Jucques Mangwarere's hacile and No. MICT-12-13 All All Registrar's Submission in Respect of the "Decision in Relation to Jucques Mangwarere's hacile and No. MICT-12-13 All Registrar's Submission i

attempts to contact Witness PP, the witness is not available to grant or refuse consent to protective measures. 9

- 11. In the *Kayishema* and *Muhimana* case Witnesses K, L, U, V, BB, HH, JJ, NN and QQ have signed affidavits that they, for security reasons, oppose that their identity be disclosed to Mungwarere. No record exists for Witnesses H, J, N, P, Y and LL. Witness S testified under her own name at trial and therefore does not have protected status as a witness. Witnesses W and GG are deceased.
- 12. Witness RR, whose statements to the Prosecution were used as supporting material for the indictments against Kayishema and Muhimana and filed *ex parte* has signed an affidavit that he consents to disclosure of these statements to Mungwarere.
- 13. In the *Ndindabahizi* case Witnesses CGV, CGP, CGY and CGN have signed affidavits that they, for security reasons, oppose the disclosure of their identity to Mungwarere. No record exists for Witnesses CGR, CGT and CGO.
- 14. In accordance with the affidavit of Witness RR I vary his protective measures to allow disclosure of closed session transcripts and exhibits under seal from the *Ndindabahizi* case which reveal the witness' identity.
- 15. With respect to the other protected witnesses for whom there is no consent I find that Mungwarere has made no compelling showing of exigent circumstances that could justify a variation of the protective measures for the concerned witnesses without consent or that a miscarriage of justice would result if the identity of the witnesses were not revealed to Mungwarere.
- 16. I note that Mungwarere has access to the public session testimony, if any, of the concerned witnesses and may request a review of the decision based on a specific showing of the possible importance of the confidential material for his case in accordance with MICT Rule 86(I).

⁹ The WVSS submissions also refer to the protected witnesses mentioned in Exhibit P.29 in the *Ntakirutimana et al.* case. In a decision dated 28 May 2012, I ruled that Mungwarere had not shown a nexus between his case and the exhibit. However, WVSS was requested to reveal the identity of the witnesses on the exhibit in case any of them belonged to the witnesses that were otherwise deemed relevant to Mungwarere's case. WVSS was not directed to consult the witnesses on the exhibit on disclosure, but nevertheless did so. Some of the witnesses on the exhibit proved to be among the relevant virus as finited of the consultance of the witnesses on the exhibit proved to be among the relevant virus as finited of the consultance of the witnesses on the exhibit proved to be among the relevant virus as finited of the consultance of the witnesses on the exhibit proved to be among the relevant virus as finited of the consultance of the witnesses of the exhibit proved to be among the relevant virus as a finite of the consultance of the witnesses of the exhibit proved to be among the relevant virus as a finite of the consultance of the witnesses of the exhibit proved to be among the relevant virus as a finite of the consultance of the witnesses of the exhibit proved to be among the relevant virus as a finite of the consultance of the exhibit proved to be among the relevant virus as a finite of the consultance of the exhibit proved to be among the relevant virus as a finite of the consultance of the consultance of the exhibit proved to be among the relevant virus as a finite of the consultance of the exhibit proved to be among the relevant virus as a finite of the consultance of the consul



FOR THE ABOVE REASONS, I

- I. GRANT the Jacques Mungwarere's requests in part;
- **II. REQUEST** the Registry to provide the statements of Witness RR used to support paragraphs 45 to 50 of the Indictments in the *Kayishema et al.* and *Muhimana* cases to Judge Michel Z. Charbonneau, Judge of the Superior Court of Justice, Court of Ontario, Canada for disclosure to the parties in the case against Jacques Mungwarere before that jurisdiction;
- III. ALLOW the disclosure of the transmitted material to Judge Michel Z. Charbonneau, on the condition that the information that Witness RR gave statements to the ICTR Prosecution and that the material originates from proceedings before the ICTR shall be treated confidentially and only revealed to the Parties in the proceedings against Jacques Mungwarere before that jurisdiction.
- IV. REJECT Jacque Mungwarere's Motions in all other aspects.

Arusha, 18 January 2013, done in English.

Judge Vagn Joensen
Single Judge





To/ A:

TRANSMISSION SHEET/ FICHE DE TRANSMISSION FOR FILING OF DOCUMENTS WITH THE ARUSHA BRANCH OF THE MECHANISM FOR INTERNATION CRIMINAL TRIBUNALS/ POUR LE DEPOT DE DOCUMENTS A LA DIVISION D'ARUSHA DU MECHANISME POUR LES TRIBUNAUX PENAUX INTERNATIONAUX

I - FILING INFORMATION / INFORMATIONS GENERALES

MICT Registry/ Greffe du MTPI

	57.01				Un (A t
From/	Chambers/	Defence/	Prosecution/		ther/ Autre :
De:	Chambre Duty Judge	Défense	Bureau du Procui	eui	
1 00 15	Duty Judge		Kar is harman		
Casa Nama/ M	takirutima	na Musema,	nay ishama	ase Number/ N	1101 12-17.15
Affaire: N CVO	minizo, Na	Bagilishema M Imbati, Ndindab	ighizi,	ffaire No: 10, 19	8,11,12,19,14,08
Date Created/	18 January 201	$3 \supset UZUUWWDO,$	Date transmitted	d/ 18 January	2013
Daté du:		Niyitegeka	Transmis le:		
No. of Pages/	6 (including	Original Language /	English/	☐ French/	☐ Kinyarwanda
No de pages:	cover page)	Langue de l'original:	: Anglais	Français	5
Title of	DECISION IN R	ESPECT TO JACQUE	S MUNGWARERE'S	MOTIONS TO	ACCESS
Document/	MATERIALS				
Titre du					
document:					
				<u>-</u>	
Classification	Unclassified/		Strictly Confidential		tidentiel
Level/	Confidential/ Confidential Ex Parte (specify/ préciser):				
Catégories de	☐ Ex Parte ☐ Ex Parte ☐ Other Ex Parte/ Ex Parte Autre (specify/				
classement:	Defence exclude		, ,		
	Défense exclu	Bureau du Procu	reur		
		exclu			
Document type/	Indictment/	Order/ Ordre	☐ Appea	l Book/	☐ Notice of Appeal/
Type de	Acte d'accusation	on	Livre d'ap	Livre d'appel Acte d'appel	
document:	☐ Warrant/	Affidavit/	Submi	ssion from non-p	arties/
	Mandat	Déclaration sous		déposés par des	
	☐Motion/	☐ Corresponden	_	ssion from partie	1
	Requête			déposés par des	
	Decision/	☐ Judgement/ Ju		of Authorities/	'
	Décision			ources juridiques	:
					······································
II - TRANS	LATION STATUS	ON THE FILING DAT	E / ETAT DE LA TR	ADUCTION AU I	OUR DU DÉPÔT
					och be ber er
	required/ La tradu	iction n'est pas requise			
⊠Filing Party here	by submits only th	ne original, and request	s the Registry to tran	slate/	
		l'original et sollicite que			ion
		ached/ La version en W			
· - 		57 − .		,	
English/ <i>Anglai</i> s	e		l Français	☐ Kinyarwand	a
	3			- C 11 - 1	
		the original and the tran	ıslated version for filii	ng, as follows/	
☐ Filing Party her	eby submits both t	the original and the tran			
☐ Filing Party her La Partie déposant	eby submits both t	l'original et la version tra	aduite pour dépôt, co	mme suit :	a
Filing Party hero La Partie déposant Original/	eby submits both t te soumet ci-joint l English/	l'original et la version tra ☐ French	aduite pour dépôt, co /		a
Filing Party here La Partie déposant Original/ Original en	eby submits both t te soumet ci-joint l English/ Anglais	l'original et la version tra ☐ French França	aduite pour dépôt, co / is	mme suit : [] Kinyarwand	
Filing Party here La Partie déposant Original/ Original en Translation/	eby submits both t te soumet ci-joint l English/ Anglais English/	l'original et la version tra ☐ French <i>França</i> ☐ French	aduite pour dépôt, co l is l	mme suit :	
Filing Party here La Partie déposant Original/ Original en Translation/ Traduction en	eby submits both t te soumet ci-joint l English/ Anglais English/ Anglais	l'original et la version tra ☐ French <i>França</i> ☐ French <i>França</i>	aduite pour dépôt, co is is	mme suit : ☐ Kinyarwand ☐ Kinyarwand	a
☐ Filing Party here La Partie déposant Original/ Original en Translation/ Traduction en ☐ Filing Party will	eby submits both to the soumet ci-joint I anglish/Anglais English/Anglais be submitting the	"original et la version tra ☐ French França ☐ French França translated version(s) in	aduite pour dépôt, co / is / is due course in the fo	mme suit : Kinyarwand Kinyarwand	a (s)/
☐ Filing Party here La Partie déposant Original/ Original en Translation/ Traduction en ☐ Filing Party will	eby submits both to the soumet ci-joint less and English. Anglais English. Anglais be submitting the the soumettra la (less	"original et la version tra French França French França translated version(s) in s) version(s) traduite(e)	aduite pour dépôt, co / is / is due course in the fo	mme suit : Kinyarwand Kinyarwand	(s)/ vante(s):