

United Nations Nations Unies

Mechanism for International Criminal Tribunals

Mécanisme pour les Tribunaux Pénaux Internationaux STATUS Public D/A 353

CASE/AFFAIRE NO. MICT-13-52-R.1 LUKIC & LUKIC (REVIEW)

DATE 13/11/2015

FROM/DE CARLINE AMEERALI, HEAD, COURTROOM OPERATIONS

APPROVED FOR DISTRIBUTION
APPROUVE POUR DISTRIBUTION PAR

Stefanie GEISS

TO/A

President's Office/ Président:

Senior Legal Officer/ Juriste hors-classe:

Prosecutor MICT: Mr. H. Jallow

**Prosecutor Team MICT:** 

Defense Counsel/ Conseil de la Défense: R. Dixon

Registrar/ Greffier: Mr. J. Hocking

OLAD:

Communication Services/ Service Communication:

Courtroom Operations/ Opérations en salle d'audience: Ms. Carline Ameerali

Judicial Records Unit/ Service des dossiers judiciaires: Mr. S.R. Haider

MICT Arusha Registry:

#### PLEASE FIND ATTACHED/VEUILLEZ TROUVER CI-JOINT

Decision on Prosecution's motion to strike Milan Lukic's notice of appeal of decision on appliction for review, submitted by Appeals Chamber on 13 November 2015

#### COMMENTS

Judge Hall, Judge Akay, Judge Nyambe, Judge Park, Judge Bossa

Churchillplein 1, 2517 JW The Hague. P.O. Box 13888, 2501 EW The Hague. Netherlands

Churchillplein 1, 2517 JW La Haye. B.P. 13888, 2501 EW La Haye. Pays-Bas

Tel.: 31-70-512 5689 / 8751 Fax: 31-70-512 8558 
 RECEIVED/RECU
 FILED/ENREGISTRE

 13/11/2015
 13/11/2015

For guidelines regarding filing procedures, please see the Practice Direction on Filings made before the Mechanism for International Criminal Tribunals, MICT/7.

Pour les procedures concernant le dépôt des documents, voir la Directive pratique relative au depot de documents devant le Mécanisme pour les Tribunaux Pénaux Internationaux,

Confidentiality statement:

The email notification and its attachments may contain confidential and privileged information and is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named above.

If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the document(s)is prohibited.

If you have received the document(s)in error, please notify Mechanism The Hague Judicial Filings at JudicialFilingsHague@un.org and delete the material from your computer immediately. Déclaration de confidentialité:

Declaration de confidentialité :

Ce courrier électronique et les documents qui y sont joints sont susceptibles de contenir des informations confidentielles ou couvertes par le secret professionnel. Ils sont exclusivement destinés aux personnes ou organismes dont le nom est indiqué ci-dessus

Dans l'hypothèse où vous auriez reçu ce courrier électronique par erreur, veuillez noter que toute divulgation, reproduction, diffusion ou utilisation de ces documents est rigoureusement interdite. Le cas échéant, merci de bien vouloir signaler cette erreur en écrivant à l'adresse suivante: JudicialFilingsHague@un.org et supprimer immédiatement les documents en question de votre ordinateur

# UNITED NATIONS

Case No.

MICT-13-52-R.1



Mechanism for International Criminal Tribunals

Date:

13 November 2015

Original:

English

### IN THE APPEALS CHAMBER

Before:

Judge Burton Hall, Presiding

Judge Aydin Sefa Akay

Judge Prisca Matimba Nyambe

Judge Seon Ki Park

Judge Solomy Balungi Bossa

Registrar:

Mr. John Hocking

Decision of:

13 November 2015

#### **PROSECUTOR**

v.

#### MILAN LUKIĆ

#### **PUBLIC**

# DECISION ON PROSECUTION'S MOTION TO STRIKE MILAN LUKIĆ'S NOTICE OF APPEAL OF DECISION ON APPLICATION FOR REVIEW

# The Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr. Hassan Bubacar Jallow Mr. Mathias Marcussen

#### Counsel for Milan Lukić:

Mr. Rodney Dixon, QC

THE APPEALS CHAMBER of the International Residual Mechanism for Criminal Tribunals ("Appeals Chamber" and "Mechanism", respectively);

**NOTING** the "Decision on Milan Lukić's Application for Review" issued on 7 July 2015, in which the Appeals Chamber, Judge Antonetti dissenting, dismissed Milan Lukić's request to have his convictions and sentence reviewed in light of alleged new evidence, which came to his attention after his appeal was concluded;<sup>1</sup>

**NOTING** the "Notice of Appeal of 'Decision on Milan Lukić's Application for Review" filed on 6 August 2015 ("Appeal"), in which Milan Lukić argues, *inter alia*, that the Review Decision is subject to appeal pursuant to Article 23 of the Statute of the Mechanism ("Statute") and Rule 133 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the Mechanism ("Rules"), requests that his appeal proceed before a "reconstituted Appeals Chamber", and seeks an extension of time to file his appeal brief;

BEING SEISED OF the "Prosecution Motion to Strike Lukić's Notice of Appeal of 'Decision on Milan Lukić's Application for Review", filed on 17 August 2015 ("Prosecution Motion"), in which the Prosecution contends that Milan Lukić's claim that an appeal lies from the Review Decision is unfounded because neither the Statute nor the Rules provide for an appeal from a decision dismissing a request for review;<sup>4</sup>

**NOTING** that Milan Lukić did not file a response to the Prosecution Motion;

**NOTING** that the Review Decision was rendered by the Appeals Chamber as the chamber which issued the final judgement in the case against Milan Lukić;<sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Decision on Milan Lukić's Application for Review, 7 July 2015 ("Review Decision"), para. 38; Première partie de l'Opinion Dissidente du Juge Jean-Claude Antonetti jointe à la Décision du 7 juillet 2015, 20 July 2015; Deuxième partie de l'Opinion Dissidente du Juge Jean-Claude Antonetti jointe à la Décision du 7 juillet 2015, 1 October 2015. See also Application on Behalf of Milan Lukić for Review of the Trial Judgment of 20 July 2009, 6 February 2014 (public with confidential annexes) ("Review Application"), paras. 3, 5, 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Appeal, paras. 5-8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Appeal, paras. 9, 16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Prosecution Motion, paras. 1-3. See also Order Assigning a Bench to Consider a Notice of Appeal and a Prosecution Motion, 22 September 2015.

The Appeals Chamber of the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda has held that only a final judgement – a decision which puts an end to the proceedings – can be reviewed. See, e.g., Jean Uwinkindi v. The Prosecutor, Case No. ICTR-01-75-AR11bis, Decision on Uwinkindi's Motion for Review or Reconsideration of the Decision on Referral to Rwanda and the Related Prosecution Motion, 23 February 2012, para. 10, referring to Ferdinand Nahimana et al. v. The Prosecutor, Case No. ICTR-99-52-A, Décision relative à la requête de l'appelant Jean-Bosco Barayagwiza demandant l'examen de la requête de la Défense datée du 28 juillet 2000 et réparation pour abus de procédure, 23 June 2006, para. 21; Jean Bosco Barayagwiza v. The Prosecutor, Case No. ICTR-97-19-AR72, Decision (Prosecutor's Request for Review or Reconsideration), 31 March 2000, para, 49; Rule 120(A) of the ICTR Rules of Procedure and Evidence. In this case, the final judgement was rendered by the Appeals Chamber of the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia. See Prosecutor v. Milan Lukić and Sredoje Lukić, Case No. IT-98-32/1-A, Judgement, 4 December 2012. Therefore, the proper forum for considering Milan Lukić's request for review was the Appeals Chamber. See Order Assigning Judges to a Case Before the Appeals Chamber, 24 February 2014, p. 2.

**NOTING** that, in the Review Decision, the Appeals Chamber dismissed at the preliminary examination stage Milan Lukić's request to have his convictions and sentence reviewed;<sup>6</sup>

**CONSIDERING** that Article 23 of the Statute and Rule 133 of the Rules upon which Milan Lukić relies in his Appeal pertain to appellate proceedings concerning decisions taken by a Single Judge or decisions and judgements rendered by a Trial Chamber;

**FINDING** therefore that Article 23 of the Statute and Rule 133 of the Rules are not applicable in the present circumstances where the impugned decision was rendered by the Appeals Chamber;

**CONSIDERING FURTHER** that, pursuant to Rule 148 of the Rules, the only right of appeal in review proceedings pertains to a judgement on review pronounced by a Trial Chamber or a Single Judge, and that there is no provision in the Statute or the Rules that allows for appeals from decisions of the Appeals Chamber denying a request for review at the preliminary examination stage;<sup>7</sup>

FINDING therefore that the Appeal is unfounded;

FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS,

**HEREBY GRANTS** the Prosecution Motion; and

**DISMISSES** the Appeal in its entirety.

Done in English and French, the English version being authoritative.

Done this 13th day of November 2015, At The Hague, The Netherlands

Judge Burton Hall, Presiding

# [Seal of the Mechanism]

Prosecutor v. Drago Josipović, Case No. IT-95-16-R2, Decision on Motion for Review, 7 March 2003, para. 15; Eliézer Niyitegeka v. The Prosecutor, Case No. ICTR-96-14-R, Decision on Request for Review, 30 June 2006, para. 8. <sup>6</sup> Review Decision, paras. 17, 23, 31, 37, 38.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See also Eliézer Niyitegeka v. The Prosecutor, Case No. MICT-12-16-R, Decision on Niyitegeka's Request for Assignment of Counsel, 6 November 2014, para. 11 (where the Appeals Chamber held that decisions rejecting requests for review are final decisions and, as such, are not subject to reconsideration).



# TRANSMISSION SHEET FOR FILING OF DOCUMENTS WITH THE MECHANISM FOR INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNALS/ FICHE DE TRANSMISSION POUR LE DÉPÔT DE DOCUMENTS DEVANT LE MÉCANISME POUR LES TRIBUNAUX PÉNAUX INTERNATIONAUX

I - FILING INFORMATION / INFORMATIONS GÉNÉRALES

To/ À :	MICT Registry/ Greffe du MTPI		Arusha/ Arusha	The Hague/ La Haye
From/ De:	⊠ Chambers/ Chambre	Defence/ Défense	☐ Prosecutio Bureau du Pro	<del></del>
Case Name/ Affaire :	Prosecutor v. Milar	Lukić	Case Nui Affaire n	
Date Created/ Daté du :	13 November 2015	Date transmitted/ Transmis le :	13 November 2015	No. of Pages/ 3 Nombre de pages :
Original Language / Langue de l'original :		French/	arwanda 🔲 B/C/S	☐ Other/Autre (specify/préciser) :
Title of Document/ Titre du document :	Decision on Prosecution's motion to strike Milan Lukić's notice of appeal of decision on application for review			
Classification Level/ Catégories de classification :	☐ Unclassified/ Non classifié ☐ Confidential/ Confidentiel ☐ Strictly Confident Strictement confider	Ex Parte F Ex Parte F Ex Parte 6	R86(H) applicant excludentions Curiae excludent National Curiae excludentions of the Curial Republic Research (Note	Bureau du Procureur exclu uded/ Art. 86 H) requérant exclu ed/ Amicus curiae exclu
Document type/ Type de document :	☐ Motion/ Requête ☑ Decision/ Décision ☐ Order/ Ordonnance	☐ Submission from Écritures déposées ☐ Submission from Écritures déposées ☐ Book of Authoriti Recueil de sources	par des parties non-parties/ par des tiers	☐ Indictment/ Acte d'accusation ☐ Warrant/ Mandat ☐ Notice of Appeal/ Acte d'appel
II - TRANSLAT	☐ Judgement/  Jugement/Arrêt	Affidavit/ Déclaration sous se	-	ICTION AII IOUR DU DÉPÔT
II - TRANSLATION STATUS ON THE FILING DATE / ÉTAT DE LA TRADUCTION AU JOUR DU DÉPÔT  ☐ Translation not required / La traduction n'est pas requise				
☑Filing Party hereby submits only the original, and requests the Registry to translate/ La partie déposante ne soumet que l'original et sollicite que le Greffe prenne en charge la traduction : (Word version of the document is attached/ La version Word est jointe)				
English/ Anglais	⊠ Frenc <i>Fran</i> ç	_ •	nda 🗌 B/C/S	Other/Autre (specify/préciser) :
☐ Filing Party hereby s La partie déposante sou				
	nglish/		nda 🗌 B/C/S	Other/Autre
_	nglais Franç			(specify/préciser):
	nglish/	_ ,	nda 🗌 B/C/S	Other/Autre (specify/préciser):
Traduction en       Anglais       Français       (specify/préciser) :         ☐ Filing Party will be submitting the translated version(s) in due course in the following language(s)/         La partie déposante soumettra la (les) version(s) traduite(s) sous peu, dans la (les) langue(s) suivante(s) :				
☐ English/ <i>Anglai</i> s	☐ Frenc Français	:h/ ☐ Kinyarwar		Other/Autre (specify/préciser):